

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOV	'ERY (CI)		
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT N	IO:		
AIRS ID#: 1050073 DA	TE: <u>6/15/07</u>	ARRIVE: <u>10:54</u>	DEPART: <u>21:23</u>		
FACILITY NAME: LA	KELAND / EATON PARK				
FACILITY LOCATION	3770 MAINE AVENUE	Ε			
	EATON PARK 33840				
RESPONSIBLE OFFIC	IAL: JEFFREY PORTER	PHO	NE: (561)820-8415		
CONTACT NAME: Rusty Shimer		PHONE:			
REMITTANCE YEAR:	ENTITL	EMENT PERIOD: (effective	/ date) (end date)		
PART I: <u>INSPECTION</u> <u>COMPLIANCE</u> <u>STATUS</u> (check ✓ only one box)					
☑ IN COMPLIAN	CE MINOR Non-COMI	PLIANCE SIGNIFIC	ANT Non-COMPLIANCE		
PART II: TESTING/RE (check ☑ appropriate	CCORDKEEPING REQUIRED te box(es))	<u>MENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414,	F.A.C.		
Stack Emissions					
1. Were visible emis	sions tests conducted during this	s site visit according to EPA N	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter		
2. Are emissions fro	m silos, weigh hoppers (batchers	s), and other enclosed storage	and conveying equipment		
	controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity? Yes No During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted				
at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unless such rate is unachievable in practice?					
1 1 1	1' 11' ' 0	ading rate, or at least at the n	ninimum 25 tons per hour rate,		
unless such rate is	unachievable in practice?		\(\sum Yes \) No		
unless such rate is 4. Are emissions fro to this question is	s unachievable in practice? m the weigh hopper (batcher) or "Yes", then continue on to ques	peration controlled by the silotions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If a	dust collector? (If answer is "No" then		
unless such rate is 4. Are emissions fro to this question is skip 4.a) and 4.b)	s unachievable in practice? m the weigh hopper (batcher) or "Yes", then continue on to ques and continue on to question 5.)-	peration controlled by the silotions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If a	dust collector? (If answer is "No" then		
unless such rate is 4. Are emissions fro to this question is skip 4.a) and 4.b) a) Was the batchi b) During the vis	s unachievable in practice? m the weigh hopper (batcher) on "Yes", then continue on to ques and continue on to question 5.)- ing operation in operation during ible emissions test, was the batcl	peration controlled by the silo tions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If a tions 4.a and 4.b below. If a the visible emissions test?	dust collector? (If answer is "No" then		
unless such rate is 4. Are emissions fro to this question is skip 4.a) and 4.b) a) Was the batchi b) During the vis duration?	s unachievable in practice? m the weigh hopper (batcher) on "Yes", then continue on to ques and continue on to question 5.)- ing operation in operation during ible emissions test, was the batcl	peration controlled by the silo tions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If a			
unless such rate is 4. Are emissions fro to this question is skip 4.a) and 4.b) a) Was the batchi b) During the vis duration? 5. If emissions from from the silo dust	s unachievable in practice? m the weigh hopper (batcher) op "Yes", then continue on to ques and continue on to question 5.)- ng operation in operation during ible emissions test, was the batcl the weigh hopper (batcher) oper collector, are the visible emission	peration controlled by the silor tions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If a g the visible emissions test?—hing rate representative of the ration are controlled by a dust ons tests of the weigh hopper	dust collector? (If answer inswer is "No" then		

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	☐Yes ☐ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	e 🗌
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing ⊠Yes □ No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)					
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))					
Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.) 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take emissions by: a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yatching and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yatching areas, and yatching area	ards, which shall include one or more of the fol				
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-su emissions?	ppressant chemicals when necessary to contro	l			
 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other pre-entrainment, and from building or work areas to a reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind particulate matter from stock piles? 	reduce airborne particulate matter? d breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No			
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate	⊠Yes □ No				
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – R A. New or Modified Process Equipment	.uie 62-210,300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.				
1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment?					
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?					
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially diffe					
recent notification form? Yes					
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete					
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or local program office? Yes N					
local program office?		∐Yes ∐ No			
Neal B. Janis	6/15/07				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	_			
	1 year				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection				
COMMENTS: approx 90 000 gal low sulfer diesel and 153 600 tny material. Roads are maintained however are not paved					